

## GEORGESCU-ROEGEN BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Georgescu-Roegen, N., 1976a. (1970) The Entropy Law and the Economic Problem, in: Energy and Economic Myths. Elsevier, pp. 53–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-021027-8.50009-6>
- Georgescu-Roegen, N., 1976b. (1970) The Entropy Law and the Economic Problem, in: Energy and Economic Myths. Elsevier, pp. 53–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-021027-8.50009-6>
- Georgescu-Roegen, N., Mayumi, K., Gowdy, J.M. (Eds.), 1999. Bioeconomics and sustainability: essays in honor of Nicholas Georgescu-Roegen. E. Elgar, Cheltenham, UK ; Northampton, MA, USA.
- Gowdy, J., Mesner, S., 1998. The Evolution of Georgescu-Roegen's Bioeconomics. Review of Social Economy 56, 136–156. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00346769800000016>
- Heinzel, C., 2013. Schumpeter and Georgescu-Roegen on the foundations of an evolutionary analysis. Cambridge Journal of Economics 37, 251–271. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cje/bes060>
- Kerschner, C., 2010. Economic de-growth vs. steady-state economy. Journal of Cleaner Production 18, 544–551. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2009.10.019>
- Kurz, H.D., Salvadori, N., 2003. Fund–flow versus flow–flow in production theory: Reflections on Georgescu-Roegen's contribution. Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization 51, 487–505. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2681\(02\)00143-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2681(02)00143-9)
- Levallois, C., 2010. Can de-growth be considered a policy option? A historical note on Nicholas Georgescu-Roegen and the Club of Rome. Ecological Economics 69, 2271–2278. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2010.06.020>
- Mayumi, K., Giampietro, M., Gowdy, J.M., 1998. Georgescu-Roegen/Daly versus Solow/Stiglitz Revisited. Ecological Economics 27, 115–117. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8009\(98\)00003-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8009(98)00003-2)
- Raine, A., Foster, J., Potts, J., 2006. The new entropy law and the economic process. Ecological Complexity 3, 354–360. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecocom.2007.02.009>